

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/606,632	06/26/2003	Masaya Takaoka	03260CIP/HG	4979	
1933 7	7590 08/31/2006		EXAMINER		
FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC 220 Fifth Avenue			ZHANG, NANCY L		
16TH Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK,	NY 10001-7708		1614		
				DATE MAILED: 08/31/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/606,632	TAKAOKA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nancy L. Zhang	1614	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ma</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ol>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 6 and 22-35 is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 22-35 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	vn from consideration.  election requirement.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the objection to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression of the contraction is objected to by the Expression of the contraction of t	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1)   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	, (PTO-413)	
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2 sheets</u>.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da		

Art Unit: 1614

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's amendment filed on March 15, 2006 has been received. In the filed amendment, the applicant added new claims 22-35.

Applicant's affidavits of drug reports as evidence for known insulin sensitizers filed on March 15, 2006 has been received and has been taken into consideration.

Because of the cancellation of previous broad claims, the addition of more specified claims along with the applicant's submitted affidavits of drug reports, the previous enablement rejection under 35 USC § 112 is withdrawn.

Claims 1-5 and 7-21 are cancelled.

Claims 6 and the newly added claims 22-35 are presented for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 1614

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 22-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hosakawa (PTO 1449) and Robl (US PGPub: 20020013334, pub. Date: Jan. 31, 2002) in view of Cropp (US Pat: 6,245,787, issue date: Jun. 12, 2001), further in view of (US Pat: 6,245,787, issue date: Jun. 12, 2001), Mizukami (PTO 892, item U), Fukui (PTO 892, item V), Devasthale (PTO 892, item W) and Kitahara (US PGPub: 20030073729, pub. Date: Apr. 17, 2003).

Claims 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hosokawa et al., "Troglitazone inhibits bicarbonate secretion in Rat and Human Duodenum". Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics. September 1999, page 1080-1084. (Form 1449).

Claims 22 and 23 recite a pharmaceutically composition comprising a diuretic and an insulin sensitizer in a ratio of 1:200 to 200:1 where the diuretic is amiloride and the insulin sensitizer can be a troglitazone.

Hosakawa teaches the administration of troglitazone combined with the administration of amiloride. Table 1 (page 1082) demonstrates that troglitazone (20  $\mu$ M) and amiloride (100  $\mu$ M) are used in the combined administration resulting in a ratio of 1:5 between troglitazone and amiloride. Hosakawa does not teach troglitazone and amiloride being combined into one pharmaceutical composition. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention was made to make a pharmaceutical composition comprising troglitazone and amiloride

Art Unit: 1614

in a ratio of 1:5. The motivation to do so is provided by Hosakawa that the administration of troglitazone (an insulin sensitizer) has a propensity to cause edema (page 1080, column 1, line 7), and the administration of diuretics should safely relieve the fluid retention induced by troglitazone (page 1084, column 1, line 26) and that it is possible to continue the combined prescription of troglitazone and diuretics for the patients with edema (page 1084, column 1, line 30).

Thus, the claimed invention of claims 22 and 23 was *prima facie* obvious over the teachings of Hosakawa.

Claims 22-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robl (US PGPub: 20020013334, pub. Date: Jan. 31, 2002) in view of Cropp (US Pat: 6,245,787, issue date: Jun. 12, 2001), further in view of (US Pat: 6,245,787, issue date: Jun. 12, 2001), Mizukami (PTO 892, item U), Fukui (PTO 892, item V), Devasthale (PTO 892, item W) and Kitahara (US PGPub: 20030073729, pub. Date: Apr. 17, 2003).

Claims 22-35 are directed to a pharmaceutical composition comprising a diuretic and an insulin sensitizer where the diuretic is amiloride and the insulin sensitizer is selected from a group consisting of troglitazone, pioglitazone, rosiglitazone, JTT-501, MCC-555, GI-262570, YM-440, KRP-297, T-174, NC-2100, BMS-298585, AZ-242 and NN-622.

Robl teaches a pharmaceutical composition comprising a HMG CoA reductase inhibitor and one or more other types of therapeutic agents including antidiabetic agents and antihypertensive agents (page 18, claim 17, lines 5-6). The antidiabetic agents can

Art Unit: 1614

be insulin sensitizers (page 18, paragraph [0213], line 5). The antihypertensive agents can be diuretics (page 20, paragraph [0247], line 8). Robl further discloses compounds used as antidiabetic agents can be insulin sensitizers such as troglitazone, pioglitazone, rosiglitazone, JTT-501, MCC-555, GI-262570, YM-440 (page 19, paragraph [0220], lines 5-10) and KRP-297 (page 19, paragraph [0229], line 4).

Robl does not teach the use of particular compounds of T-174, NC-2100, BMS-298585, AZ-242 and NN-622 in combination with the HMG CoA reductase inhibitor. However, Robl discloses that the antidiabetic agents that may be employed in the combination can be insulin sensitizers including PPAR  $\gamma$  agonists such as thiazolidinediones and PPAR  $\alpha/\gamma$  dual agonists (page 18, [0213], lines 7-9). Mizukami discloses T-174 is a thiazolidinedione compound developed as an antidiabetic drug stimulating the transcription of PPAR $\gamma$  (page 61, line 5 of abstract); Fukui discloses NC-2100 is a PPAR $\gamma$  activator exhibiting potent antidiabetic effects (see title). Kitahara discloses NN-622 is a PPAR  $\gamma$  agonist (page 2, [0031], line 11); Devasthale discloses BMS-298585 (page 2248, line 1 of abstract) and AZ-242 (page 2248, left column, lines031-32) are PPAR  $\alpha/\gamma$  dual agonists. Therefore, the compounds of T-174, NC-2100, BMS-298585, AZ-242 and NN-622 are all insulin sensitizers within the scope of Robl's disclosure.

Robl does not teach the use of amiloride as the diuretic. However, Cropp teaches that amiloride is a useful diuretics (column 3, line 12).

Art Unit: 1614

Robl also discloses that when a combination is administered, the compound of Robl's invention can be employed in a weight ratio to other types of therapeutic agents within the range from about 0.5:1 to about 100:1.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention was made to make a pharmaceutical composition according to Robl using amiloride as the diuretic and one of the above listed known insulin sensitizers in combination with the inhibitor of Robl's invention. The motivation to do so is to make and use Robl's invention. If weight ratios in the composition are 1:1 between amiloride and Robl's inhibitor and 1:1 between the insulin sensitizer and Robl's inhibitor, the resulting pharmaceutical composition would comprise amiloride and an insulin sensitizer with a weight ratio of 1:1 between amiloride and the insulin sensitizer. The resulting weight ratio falls into the range of 1:200 to 200:1. Therefore the resulting pharmaceutical composition meets all the limitations as defined in claims 22-35 of the instant application.

Thus, the claimed invention of claims 22-35 was *prima facie* obvious over the combined teachings of the prior art.

## Conclusion

Claims 22-35 are not allowed.

Claim 6 is in condition for allowance.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,632 Page 7

Art Unit: 1614

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nancy L. Zhang whose telephone number is (571)-272-8270. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.- Fri. 8:30am - 5:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on (571)-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

nlm 8/24/06

NLZ

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER